

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/692,463	10/21/2003	Brian LaBrec	P901D	7258
23735 DIGIMARC CO	7590 07/13/200 ORPORATION	EXAMINER		
9405 SW GEM BEAVERTON		ART UNIT	CHEN, VIVIAN	
BEAVERION,	, OK 97008		ART UNIT .	PAPER NUMBER
			1773	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Transaction and the second		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/692,463	LABREC, BRIAN	
Office Action Summa	ary	Examiner	Art Unit	T
		Vivian Chen	1773	
The MAILING DATE of this co Period for Reply	ommunication app	ears on the cover she	et with the correspondence ac	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM - Extensions of time may be available under the pafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of a lf the period for reply specified above, the mail of NO period for reply is specified above, the mail Fix NO period for reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.	MMUNICATION. provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: this communication. n thirty (30) days, a reply ximum statutory period to for reply will, by statute months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, my within the statutory minimum will expire SIX (6), cause the application to beco	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered time in MONTHS from the mailing date of this or the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.
Status			•	
 Responsive to communication This action is FINAL. Since this application is in corclosed in accordance with the 	2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.		e merits is
Disposition of Claims				
4)	is/are withdrawis/are allowed. The rejected. The distriction and/or and/or and/or and/or and/or by the Examine	wn from consideration r election requirement r.	·	
10) The drawing(s) filed on Applicant may not request that as Replacement drawing sheet(s) in 11) The oath or declaration is obje	ny objection to the correct	drawing(s) be held in ab ion is required if the drav	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a a) All b) Some * c) Non 1. Certified copies of the p 2. Certified copies of the p 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the Inte	e of: priority documents priority documents copies of the prior prnational Bureau	s have been received. s have been received ity documents have b ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No een received in this National	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) ☐ Interv	ew Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO- Paper No(s)/Mail Date	•	Paper	No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PTC	O-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/692,463 Page 2

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claim 8, 10, 13-14, 18, 20-29, 32-33 has been cancelled by Applicant.

1. The indicated allowability of previously presented claim 18 is withdrawn in view of the

newly discovered reference(s). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Specification

2. The amendment filed 4/30/2007 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: the newly filed amendments in which the document structure lacks a discernable interface between laminate and core when the core is not limited to polyester.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/692,463 Page 3

Art Unit: 1773

2. Claims 1-7, 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention for the reasons stated above in the objection to the amendment filed 4/30/2007. The disclosure as originally filed only provides support for the lack of a discernable interface when the core is specified as polyester and does not provide support for the lack of a discernable interface when the core is unspecified and/or polyolefin.

3. Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 31 is vague and indefinite because it is inconsistent with the parent claim 30 requiring that the core is polyester.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 17, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by:
 - (a) WINTER (US 4,765,999); or

Application/Control Number: 10/692,463 Page 4

Art Unit: 1773

(b) YABE (US 4,362,775).

WINTER discloses a method of forming a laminated polyester film via coextrusion wherein the laminate comprises a first polyester layer comprising PCTA and a second bonding layer comprising PETG. (WINTER, line 9-23, 33-58, col. 2; Examples 1-3)

YABE ET AL discloses a method of forming a laminated polyester film via coextrusion wherein the laminate comprises a first polyester layer comprising poly-1,4-cyclohexylenedimethylene terephthalate and a second bonding layer comprising glycol-modified polyethylene terephthalate. (YABE ET AL, line 40-50, col. 2; line 6-38, col. 3; line 1-5, col. 8)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. Claims 17, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over:
 - (a) WINTER (US 4,765,999); or
 - (b) YABE (US 4,362,775).

WINTER discloses a method of forming a laminated polyester film via coextrusion wherein the laminate comprises a first polyester layer comprising PCTA and a second bonding layer comprising PETG. (WINTER, line 9-23, 33-58, col. 2; Examples 1-3)

YABE ET AL discloses a method of forming a laminated polyester film via coextrusion wherein the laminate comprises a first polyester layer comprising poly-1,4-cyclohexylenedimethylene terephthalate and a second bonding layer comprising glycol-modified polyethylene terephthalate. (YABE ET AL, line 40-50, col. 2; line 6-38, col. 3; line 1-5, col. 8)

Art Unit: 1773

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a known multilayer film-forming method (e.g., coextrusion) to form a laminate comprising a surface polyester layer and a bonding polyester layer.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 4/30/2007 have been fully considered but they are deemed moot in view of the new grounds of rejections.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vivian Chen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1506. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:30 AM to 6 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney, can be reached on (571) 272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

The General Information telephone number for Technology Center 1700 is (571) 272-1700.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

July 6, 2007

Vivian Chen Primary Examiner Art Unit 1773